

Free actions on metric lines

Shane O Rourke

Cork Institute of Technology

10 September 2019

Let Λ (or more precisely $(\Lambda, +, \leq)$) be a (linearly) ordered abelian group.

Let Λ (or more precisely $(\Lambda, +, \leq)$) be a (linearly) ordered abelian group.

For example

- $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}$

Let Λ (or more precisely $(\Lambda, +, \leq)$) be a (linearly) ordered abelian group.

For example

- $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}$
- $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ (lexicographic order)

Let Λ (or more precisely $(\Lambda, +, \leq)$) be a (linearly) ordered abelian group.

For example

- $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}$
- $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ (lexicographic order)
- $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}^n$ (lexicographic order)

Let Λ (or more precisely $(\Lambda, +, \leq)$) be a (linearly) ordered abelian group.

For example

- $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}$
- $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ (lexicographic order)
- $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}^n$ (lexicographic order)

There is a natural notion of Λ -metric space (distances between points are non-negative elements of Λ).

Let Λ (or more precisely $(\Lambda, +, \leq)$) be a (linearly) ordered abelian group.

For example

- $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}$
- $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ (lexicographic order)
- $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}^n$ (lexicographic order)

There is a natural notion of Λ -metric space (distances between points are non-negative elements of Λ).

Let $d(\lambda, \mu) = |\lambda - \mu| = \max\{\lambda - \mu, \mu - \lambda\}$.

This makes Λ itself a Λ -metric space.

Let Λ (or more precisely $(\Lambda, +, \leq)$) be a (linearly) ordered abelian group.

For example

- $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}$
- $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ (lexicographic order)
- $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}^n$ (lexicographic order)

There is a natural notion of Λ -metric space (distances between points are non-negative elements of Λ).

Let $d(\lambda, \mu) = |\lambda - \mu| = \max\{\lambda - \mu, \mu - \lambda\}$.

This makes Λ itself a Λ -metric space.

In fact, Λ is a Λ -tree. I'll call Λ itself considered as a Λ -tree a **metric line**.

Let Λ (or more precisely $(\Lambda, +, \leq)$) be a (linearly) ordered abelian group.

For example

- $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}$
- $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ (lexicographic order)
- $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}^n$ (lexicographic order)

There is a natural notion of **Λ -metric space** (distances between points are non-negative elements of Λ).

Let $d(\lambda, \mu) = |\lambda - \mu| = \max\{\lambda - \mu, \mu - \lambda\}$.

This makes Λ itself a Λ -metric space.

In fact, Λ is a **Λ -tree**. I'll call Λ itself considered as a Λ -tree a **metric line**. I will look mainly at actions on metric lines today. Before we specialise to this, here are some properties of groups that act on Λ -trees. . .

I'll say a group is $ITF(\Lambda)$ or ITF if it admits an isometric action (without inversions) on a Λ -tree.

I'll say a group is $\text{ITF}(\Lambda)$ or ITF if it admits an isometric action (without inversions) on a Λ -tree.

Some properties of ITF groups

- 1 torsion-free
- 2 includes infinite cyclic groups
- 3 closed under free products
- 4 locally ITF implies ITF
- 5 fully residually ITF implies ITF
- 6 if G is finitely presented and ITF then G is $\text{ITF}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some n .
- 7 $\text{ITF}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ groups are relatively hyperbolic with free abelian parabolic subgroups.
- 8 $\text{ITF}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ groups are locally relatively quasiconvex.

I'll say a group is $\text{ITF}(\Lambda)$ or ITF if it admits an isometric action (without inversions) on a Λ -tree.

Some properties of ITF groups

- 1 torsion-free
- 2 includes infinite cyclic groups
- 3 closed under free products
- 4 locally ITF implies ITF
- 5 fully residually ITF implies ITF
- 6 if G is finitely presented and ITF then G is $\text{ITF}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some n .
- 7 $\text{ITF}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ groups are relatively hyperbolic with free abelian parabolic subgroups.
- 8 $\text{ITF}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ groups are locally relatively quasiconvex.

See survey by Kharlampovich, Miasnikov, and Serbin in *IJAC* (2013).

Which groups admit a free isometric action on a metric line?

Which groups admit a free isometric action on a metric line?

Note:

- 1 An ordered abelian group Λ acts freely on itself by translation, as does every subgroup of Λ .

Which groups admit a free isometric action on a metric line?

Note:

- 1 An ordered abelian group Λ acts freely on itself by translation, as does every subgroup of Λ .
- 2 Every torsion-free abelian group admits a linear order making it an ordered abelian group.

Which groups admit a free isometric action on a metric line?

Note:

- 1 An ordered abelian group Λ acts freely on itself by translation, as does every subgroup of Λ .
- 2 Every torsion-free abelian group admits a linear order making it an ordered abelian group.
- 3 The group of isometries of Λ is isomorphic to $\Lambda \rtimes C_2$.

Which groups admit a free isometric action on a metric line?

Note:

- 1 An ordered abelian group Λ acts freely on itself by translation, as does every subgroup of Λ .
- 2 Every torsion-free abelian group admits a linear order making it an ordered abelian group.
- 3 The group of isometries of Λ is isomorphic to $\Lambda \rtimes C_2$.

Therefore a group admits a free isometric action (without inversions) on a metric line **if and only if it is torsion-free abelian**.

Actions of groups on Λ -trees by affine automorphisms have also been studied.

- $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}$:

- I. Lioussé 'Actions affines sur les arbres réels'.
Math. Z. (2001).

Actions of groups on Λ -trees by affine automorphisms have also been studied.

- $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}$:
I. Lioussé 'Actions affines sur les arbres réels'.
Math. Z. (2001).
- General Λ :
SOR 'Affine actions on non-archimedean trees'.
IJAC (2013).

We say $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ is an **affine automorphism** if there exists $\alpha = \alpha_\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$d(\phi x, \phi y) = \alpha_\phi d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y.$$

We say $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ is an **affine automorphism** if there exists $\alpha = \alpha_\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$d(\phi x, \phi y) = \alpha_\phi d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y.$$

How to generalise this to Λ -metric spaces?

We say $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ is an **affine automorphism** if there exists $\alpha = \alpha_\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$d(\phi x, \phi y) = \alpha_\phi d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y.$$

How to generalise this to Λ -metric spaces?

Note that multiplication of real numbers by positive real scalars corresponds precisely to order-preserving automorphisms of the additive real group.

We say $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ is an **affine automorphism** if there exists $\alpha_\phi \in \text{Aut}^+(\Lambda)$ such that

$$d(\phi x, \phi y) = \alpha_\phi d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y.$$

How to generalise this to Λ -metric spaces?

Note that multiplication of real numbers by positive real scalars corresponds precisely to order-preserving automorphisms of the additive real group.

So let

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \alpha : G &\rightarrow \text{Aut}^+(\Lambda) \\ g &\mapsto \alpha_g \end{aligned} \right\}$$

be a homomorphism (Aut^+ denotes the group of order-preserving automorphisms).

We say $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ is an **affine automorphism** if there exists $\alpha_\phi \in \text{Aut}^+(\Lambda)$ such that

$$d(\phi x, \phi y) = \alpha_\phi d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y.$$

How to generalise this to Λ -metric spaces?

Note that multiplication of real numbers by positive real scalars corresponds precisely to order-preserving automorphisms of the additive real group.

So let

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \alpha : G &\rightarrow \text{Aut}^+(\Lambda) \\ g &\mapsto \alpha_g \end{aligned} \right\}$$

be a homomorphism (Aut^+ denotes the group of order-preserving automorphisms). An **α -affine action** of G on a Λ -tree X is an action satisfying

$$d(gx, gy) = \alpha_g d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

Some features of affine actions on general Λ -trees.

- 1 The based length function (Lyndon length function)
 $L_x : g \mapsto d(x, gx)$ can be defined and in fact determines an affine action much as in the isometric case. (The hyperbolic length function does *not* generalise easily however.)
- 2 The class ATF of groups that admit a free affine action on a Λ -tree for some Λ is closed under free products and ultraproducts.
- 3 As in the isometric case, a group G is
 - locally in ATF or
 - fully residually in ATFif and only if G is in ATF.

We will assume from now on that all affine actions on a given metric line Λ preserve the orientation of Λ .

We will assume from now on that **all affine actions on a given metric line Λ preserve the orientation of Λ .**

Which groups admit a free affine action on a metric line?

We will assume from now on that **all affine actions on a given metric line Λ preserve the orientation of Λ .**

Which groups admit a free affine action on a metric line?

Example: Define an action of $\Gamma = \langle a, t \rangle$ on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ via

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot (m, x) &= (m, x + 1) \\ t \cdot (m, x) &= (m + 1, rx). \end{aligned}$$

We will assume from now on that **all affine actions on a given metric line Λ preserve the orientation of Λ .**

Which groups admit a free affine action on a metric line?

Example: Define an action of $\Gamma = \langle a, t \rangle$ on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ via

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot (m, x) &= (m, x + 1) \\ t \cdot (m, x) &= (m + 1, rx). \end{aligned}$$

This action is *affine* (define $\alpha : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}^+(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})$ via $\alpha_{ta} = \alpha_t : (m, x) = (m, rx)$) and *free*.

We will assume from now on that **all affine actions on a given metric line Λ preserve the orientation of Λ .**

Which groups admit a free affine action on a metric line?

Example: Define an action of $\Gamma = \langle a, t \rangle$ on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ via

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot (m, x) &= (m, x + 1) \\ t \cdot (m, x) &= (m + 1, rx). \end{aligned}$$

This action is *affine* (define $\alpha : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}^+(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})$ via $\alpha_{ta} = \alpha_t : (m, x) \mapsto (m, rx)$) and *free*.

In fact, $\Gamma \cong BS(1, r) = \langle a, t \mid tat^{-1} = a^r \rangle$.

We will assume from now on that **all affine actions on a given metric line Λ preserve the orientation of Λ .**

Which groups admit a free affine action on a metric line?

Example: Define an action of $\Gamma = \langle a, t \rangle$ on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ via

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot (m, x) &= (m, x + 1) \\ t \cdot (m, x) &= (m + 1, rx). \end{aligned}$$

This action is *affine* (define $\alpha : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}^+(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})$ via $\alpha_{ta} = \alpha_t : (m, x) = (m, rx)$) and *free*.

In fact, $\Gamma \cong BS(1, r) = \langle a, t \mid tat^{-1} = a^r \rangle$.

This action is also **rigid** in the sense that $g[x, y] \subseteq [x, y]$ implies $g[x, y] = [x, y]$ (and hence $g = 1$ since the action is free).

Note that

- for affine automorphisms g of Λ , there exists $\nu_g \in \Lambda$ such that

$g \cdot \lambda = \alpha_g(\lambda) + \nu_g$ and thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_g & \nu_g \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g \cdot \lambda \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that

- for affine automorphisms g of Λ , there exists $\nu_g \in \Lambda$ such that $g \cdot \lambda = \alpha_g(\lambda) + \nu_g$ and thus
$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_g & \nu_g \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g \cdot \lambda \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
- The group of all (order-preserving) affine automorphisms of Λ is $\Lambda \rtimes \text{Aut}^+(\Lambda)$, and can be represented by matrices as above.

Note that

- for affine automorphisms g of Λ , there exists $\nu_g \in \Lambda$ such that $g \cdot \lambda = \alpha_g(\lambda) + \nu_g$ and thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_g & \nu_g \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g \cdot \lambda \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
- The group of all (order-preserving) affine automorphisms of Λ is $\Lambda \rtimes \text{Aut}^+(\Lambda)$, and can be represented by matrices as above.
- $\text{Aut}^+(\mathbb{Z}^n) \cong \text{UT}(n, \mathbb{Z})$.

Note that

- for affine automorphisms g of Λ , there exists $\nu_g \in \Lambda$ such that $g \cdot \lambda = \alpha_g(\lambda) + \nu_g$ and thus
$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_g & \nu_g \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g \cdot \lambda \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
- The group of all (order-preserving) affine automorphisms of Λ is $\Lambda \rtimes \text{Aut}^+(\Lambda)$, and can be represented by matrices as above.
- $\text{Aut}^+(\mathbb{Z}^n) \cong \text{UT}(n, \mathbb{Z})$.
- It follows that **any G that admits a free affine action on \mathbb{Z}^n must embed in $\text{UT}(n+1, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes \text{Aut}^+(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.**

Note that

- for affine automorphisms g of Λ , there exists $\nu_g \in \Lambda$ such that $g \cdot \lambda = \alpha_g(\lambda) + \nu_g$ and thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_g & \nu_g \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g \cdot \lambda \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
- The group of all (order-preserving) affine automorphisms of Λ is $\Lambda \rtimes \text{Aut}^+(\Lambda)$, and can be represented by matrices as above.
- $\text{Aut}^+(\mathbb{Z}^n) \cong \text{UT}(n, \mathbb{Z})$.
- It follows that **any G that admits a free affine action on \mathbb{Z}^n must embed in $\text{UT}(n+1, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes \text{Aut}^+(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.**

But the natural action of $\text{UT}(n+1, \mathbb{Z})$ on \mathbb{Z}^n is **not** free.

An affine automorphism g of a metric line is hyperbolic (i.e. fixes no point) and rigid precisely when

$$(\alpha_g - 1)(\lambda) \ll |\nu_g| \text{ for all } \lambda$$

An affine automorphism g of a metric line is hyperbolic (i.e. fixes no point) and rigid precisely when

$$(\alpha_g - 1)(\lambda) \ll |\nu_g| \text{ for all } \lambda$$

Call a matrix $A \in \text{UT}(m+1, \mathbb{Z})$ (or even $T^*(m+1, \mathbb{R})$) **admissible** if $A = I$ or if the lowest non-zero entry of $A - I$ lies in the last column and is strictly lower than any other non-zero entry.

An affine automorphism g of a metric line is hyperbolic (i.e. fixes no point) and rigid precisely when

$$(\alpha_g - 1)(\lambda) \ll |\nu_g| \text{ for all } \lambda$$

Call a matrix $A \in \text{UT}(m+1, \mathbb{Z})$ (or even $T^*(m+1, \mathbb{R})$) **admissible** if $A = I$ or if the lowest non-zero entry of $A - I$ lies in the last column and is strictly lower than any other non-zero entry.

So $A \neq I$ is admissible if and only if A is hyperbolic and rigid.

An affine automorphism g of a metric line is hyperbolic (i.e. fixes no point) and rigid precisely when

$$(\alpha_g - 1)(\lambda) \ll |\nu_g| \text{ for all } \lambda$$

Call a matrix $A \in \text{UT}(m+1, \mathbb{Z})$ (or even $T^*(m+1, \mathbb{R})$) **admissible** if $A = I$ or if the lowest non-zero entry of $A - I$ lies in the last column and is strictly lower than any other non-zero entry.

So $A \neq I$ is admissible if and only if A is hyperbolic and rigid.

Question: Which groups admit a faithful representation as admissible matrices in $\text{UT}(m+1, \mathbb{Z})$ for some m ?

Example: Consider $x : (n_1, n_2, n_3) \mapsto (n_1, n_2 + 1, n_3)$ and $y : (n_1, n_2, n_3) \mapsto (n_1 + 1, n_2, n_3 + n_2)$.

Example: Consider $x : (n_1, n_2, n_3) \mapsto (n_1, n_2 + 1, n_3)$ and $y : (n_1, n_2, n_3) \mapsto (n_1 + 1, n_2, n_3 + n_2)$. In matrix form:

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_x \begin{pmatrix} n_3 \\ n_2 \\ n_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n_3 \\ n_2 + 1 \\ n_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_y \begin{pmatrix} n_3 \\ n_2 \\ n_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n_3 + n_2 \\ n_2 \\ n_1 + 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example: Consider $x : (n_1, n_2, n_3) \mapsto (n_1, n_2 + 1, n_3)$ and $y : (n_1, n_2, n_3) \mapsto (n_1 + 1, n_2, n_3 + n_2)$. In matrix form:

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_x \begin{pmatrix} n_3 \\ n_2 \\ n_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n_3 \\ n_2 + 1 \\ n_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_y \begin{pmatrix} n_3 \\ n_2 \\ n_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n_3 + n_2 \\ n_2 \\ n_1 + 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This gives a faithful representation of $\langle x, y \rangle$ as admissible matrices in $UT(4, \mathbb{Z})$, and thus a free rigid affine action on \mathbb{Z}^3 .

The group $\langle x, y \rangle$ is in fact isomorphic to the discrete Heisenberg group $H_3(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{UT}(3, \mathbb{Z})$.

The group $\langle x, y \rangle$ is in fact isomorphic to the discrete Heisenberg group $H_3(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{UT}(3, \mathbb{Z})$.

Question: Do all unitriangular groups $\text{UT}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ admit a faithful representation as admissible matrices?

The group $\langle x, y \rangle$ is in fact isomorphic to the discrete Heisenberg group $H_3(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{UT}(3, \mathbb{Z})$.

Question: Do all unitriangular groups $\text{UT}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ admit a faithful representation as admissible matrices?

Hint (K. Dekimpe): Look at affine structures on $\text{UT}(n, \mathbb{Z})$, left symmetric algebras.

- Consider $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{ut}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ (zero upper triangular matrices);
 $[x, y] = xy - yx$. (Lie bracket on \mathfrak{g})

- Consider $\mathfrak{g} = \text{ut}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ (zero upper triangular matrices);

$$[x, y] = xy - yx. \text{ (Lie bracket on } \mathfrak{g}\text{)}$$

If x_i has all entries equal to zero apart from those on the i th superdiagonal, put

$$x_i \cdot x_j = \frac{j}{i+j} [x_i, x_j].$$

Extend to a binary operation on \mathfrak{g} using bilinearity.

- Consider $\mathfrak{g} = \text{ut}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ (zero upper triangular matrices);

$$[x, y] = xy - yx. \text{ (Lie bracket on } \mathfrak{g}\text{)}$$

If x_i has all entries equal to zero apart from those on the i th superdiagonal, put

$$x_i \cdot x_j = \frac{j}{i+j} [x_i, x_j].$$

Extend to a binary operation on \mathfrak{g} using bilinearity. This gives a **left symmetric structure** on \mathfrak{g} . That is, \cdot is a bilinear operator satisfying

- 1 $[x, y] \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z) - y \cdot (x \cdot z);$
- 2 $[x, y] = x \cdot y - y \cdot x.$

- Put $m = n(n - 1)/2$ and let $t : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^m$ be the linear isomorphism obtained by ‘stacking the superdiagonals’.

- Put $m = n(n - 1)/2$ and let $t : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^m$ be the linear isomorphism obtained by ‘stacking the superdiagonals’.
- Define

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda : \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(m, \mathbb{Q}) \\ \lambda(x) : t(y) &\mapsto t(x \cdot y)\end{aligned}$$

- Put $m = n(n - 1)/2$ and let $t : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^m$ be the linear isomorphism obtained by ‘stacking the superdiagonals’.
- Define

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda : \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(m, \mathbb{Q}) \\ \lambda(x) : t(y) &\mapsto t(x \cdot y)\end{aligned}$$

Then $\lambda(x)$ is an $m \times m$ upper triangular matrix.

- Put $d\bar{\gamma}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(x) & t(x) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

- Put $d\bar{\gamma}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(x) & t(x) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Then $d\bar{\gamma}$ is a **complete affine structure** meaning that

- 1 the linear part $\lambda(x)$ of each $d\bar{\gamma}(x)$ is a nilpotent matrix;
- 2 the translation part t of $d\bar{\gamma}$ is a vector space isomorphism.

- Put $d\bar{\gamma}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(x) & t(x) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

This defines $d\bar{\gamma} : \mathfrak{ut}(n, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{ut}(m + 1, \mathbb{Q})$.

- Let $\varphi = \bar{\gamma} : g \mapsto \exp \cdot d\bar{\gamma} \cdot \log(g)$

- Put $d\bar{\gamma}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(x) & t(x) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

This defines $d\bar{\gamma} : \mathfrak{ut}(n, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{ut}(m + 1, \mathbb{Q})$.

- Let $\varphi = \bar{\gamma} : g \mapsto \exp \cdot d\bar{\gamma} \cdot \log(g)$

Proposition

$\varphi : \text{UT}(n, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{UT}(m + 1, \mathbb{Q})$ is an injective group homomorphism *with admissible image*.

1 This shows that $UT(n, \mathbb{Q})$ has a free rigid affine action on \mathbb{Q}^m .

- 1 This shows that $UT(n, \mathbb{Q})$ has a free rigid affine action on \mathbb{Q}^m .
- 2 It follows that every finitely generated subgroup of $UT(n, \mathbb{Q})$ (such as $UT(n, \mathbb{Z})$) has a free rigid affine action on \mathbb{Z}^m .

- 1 This shows that $UT(n, \mathbb{Q})$ has a free rigid affine action on \mathbb{Q}^m .
- 2 It follows that every finitely generated subgroup of $UT(n, \mathbb{Q})$ (such as $UT(n, \mathbb{Z})$) has a free rigid affine action on \mathbb{Z}^m .
- 3 Every finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group embeds in $UT(n, \mathbb{Z})$ for some n . (Jennings)

- 1 This shows that $UT(n, \mathbb{Q})$ has a free rigid affine action on \mathbb{Q}^m .
- 2 It follows that every finitely generated subgroup of $UT(n, \mathbb{Q})$ (such as $UT(n, \mathbb{Z})$) has a free rigid affine action on \mathbb{Z}^m .
- 3 Every finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group embeds in $UT(n, \mathbb{Z})$ for some n . (Jennings)

Theorem

- 1 *The groups that admit free affine actions on \mathbb{Z}^n for some n are precisely finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent groups.*
- 2 *Every locally residually torsion-free nilpotent group admits a free rigid affine action on a metric line.*
- 3 *Every free soluble group admits a free rigid affine action on a metric line.*

Recall (once more) that $BS(1, r)$ admits a free rigid action on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$, via

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot (m, x) &= (m, x + 1) \\ t \cdot (m, x) &= (m + 1, rx). \end{aligned}$$

This can be naturally extended to an action on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$

Recall (once more) that $BS(1, r)$ admits a free rigid action on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$, via

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot (m, x) &= (m, x + 1) \\ t \cdot (m, x) &= (m + 1, rx). \end{aligned}$$

This can be naturally extended to an action on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, and can be represented by admissible matrices via

$$a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall (once more) that $BS(1, r)$ admits a free rigid action on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$, via

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot (m, x) &= (m, x + 1) \\ t \cdot (m, x) &= (m + 1, rx). \end{aligned}$$

This can be naturally extended to an action on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, and can be represented by admissible matrices via

$$a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So what other (non-nilpotent) groups of upper triangular matrices admit free affine actions on \mathbb{R}^m for some m ?

Let $B = T^*(n, \mathbb{R})$ denote the group of all upper triangular matrices with real entries and positive units on the diagonal.

Let $B = T^*(n, \mathbb{R})$ denote the group of all upper triangular matrices with real entries and positive units on the diagonal.

Then $B = U \rtimes D^*$, where U denotes unipotent matrices and D^* denotes diagonal matrices with positive diagonal entries.

Let $B = T^*(n, \mathbb{R})$ denote the group of all upper triangular matrices with real entries and positive units on the diagonal.

Then $B = U \rtimes D^*$, where U denotes unipotent matrices and D^* denotes diagonal matrices with positive diagonal entries.

Theorem

The group $T^(n, \mathbb{R})$ admits an embedding in $T^*(n + m + 1, \mathbb{R})$ with admissible image. Thus $T^*(n, \mathbb{R})$ admits a free rigid affine action on \mathbb{R}^{n+m} (considered as an \mathbb{R}^{n+m} -tree).*

Let $B = T^*(n, \mathbb{R})$ denote the group of all upper triangular matrices with real entries and positive units on the diagonal.

Then $B = U \rtimes D^*$, where U denotes unipotent matrices and D^* denotes diagonal matrices with positive diagonal entries.

Theorem

The group $T^(n, \mathbb{R})$ admits an embedding in $T^*(n + m + 1, \mathbb{R})$ with admissible image. Thus $T^*(n, \mathbb{R})$ admits a free rigid affine action on \mathbb{R}^{n+m} (considered as an \mathbb{R}^{n+m} -tree).*

The proof loosely follows an argument of John Milnor (see the proof of Theorem 1.2 in 'On Fundamental Groups of Complete Affinely Flat Manifolds' (Adv. Math. 1977)).

Example: $n = 3$

A typical element of $T^*(3, \mathbb{R})$ is expressible in the form ud where

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y & z \\ 0 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } d = \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example: $n = 3$

A typical element of $T^*(3, \mathbb{R})$ is expressible in the form ud where

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y & z \\ 0 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } d = \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now $\varphi(u) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -x/2 & y/2 & z - xy/2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$ so that

$$\varphi_0(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x/2 & y/2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } b(u) = \begin{pmatrix} z - xy/2 \\ y \\ x \end{pmatrix} \text{ where}$$

φ is our admissible embedding of $UT(n, \mathbb{Q})$ in $UT(m + 1, \mathbb{Q})$.

Also $d^* = \begin{pmatrix} r/t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r/s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s/t \end{pmatrix}$ so that $\bar{\varphi}(ud) = \bar{\varphi}(u)\bar{\varphi}(d)$

Also $d^* = \begin{pmatrix} r/t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r/s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s/t \end{pmatrix}$ so that $\bar{\varphi}(ud) = \bar{\varphi}(u)\bar{\varphi}(d)$ where

$$\bar{\varphi}(u) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|c} 1 & -x/2 & y/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z - xy/2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

Also $d^* = \begin{pmatrix} r/t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r/s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s/t \end{pmatrix}$ so that $\bar{\varphi}(ud) = \bar{\varphi}(u)\bar{\varphi}(d)$ where

$$\bar{\varphi}(u) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|c} 1 & -x/2 & y/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z - xy/2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \text{ and}$$

$$\bar{\varphi}(d) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|c} r/t & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r/s & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s/t & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \log(r) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \log(s) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \log(t) \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$



We can also show that the wreath product $\Lambda_1 \wr \Lambda_2$ of two ordered abelian groups admits a free rigid affine action on a metric line.

We can also show that the wreath product $\Lambda_1 \wr \Lambda_2$ of two ordered abelian groups admits a free rigid affine action on a metric line. More generally $\Lambda_1 \wr G$ admits such an action where G admits a free rigid affine action on a metric line.

We can also show that the wreath product $\Lambda_1 \wr \Lambda_2$ of two ordered abelian groups admits a free rigid affine action on a metric line. More generally $\Lambda_1 \wr G$ admits such an action where G admits a free rigid affine action on a metric line. Hence an iterated wreath product of ordered abelian groups admits such an action.

Fact 1: The following are equivalent for a group G .

- 1 G is right-orderable;
- 2 G admits a free order-preserving action on a linearly ordered set.

Fact 1: The following are equivalent for a group G .

- 1 G is right-orderable;
- 2 G admits a free order-preserving action on a linearly ordered set.

Fact 2: The following are equivalent for a group G .

- 1 G is orderable;
- 2 G admits a free, rigid, order-preserving action on a linearly ordered set;
- 3 G admits a faithful order-preserving action on a linearly ordered set.

Fact 1: The following are equivalent for a group G .

- 1 G is right-orderable;
- 2 G admits a free order-preserving action on a linearly ordered set.

Fact 2: The following are equivalent for a group G .

- 1 G is orderable;
- 2 G admits a free, rigid, order-preserving action on a linearly ordered set;
- 3 G admits a faithful order-preserving action on a linearly ordered set.

Question: Suppose G has an order-preserving action on a linearly ordered set X . Under what conditions does X admit an equivariant embedding in a metric line \hat{X} equipped with
(a) an affine action? (b) a rigid affine action?

We are working towards:

- 1 Let G be a group equipped with an order-preserving action on a linearly ordered set X . Then there exists an ordered abelian group Λ , an affine action of G on Λ and an equivariant embedding of X in Λ .

We are working towards:

- 1 Let G be a group equipped with an order-preserving action on a linearly ordered set X . Then there exists an ordered abelian group Λ , an affine action of G on Λ and an equivariant embedding of X in Λ .
- 2 Let G be a group equipped with a **rigid** order-preserving action on a linearly ordered set X . Then there exists an ordered abelian group Λ , a **rigid** affine action of G on Λ and an equivariant embedding of X in Λ .

Dziękuję bardzo!